



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/656,888

09/05/2003

Jukka-Pekka Vihmalo

944-003.180

1528

4955 7590 05/30/2008

WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224
MONROE, CT 06468

EXAMINER

VO, THANH DUC

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2189

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/30/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/656,888	Applicant(s) VIHMALO ET AL.	
	Examiner THANH D. VO	Art Unit 2189	

All Participants:

(1) THANH D. VO.

(2) Anatoly Frenkel (Reg. No. 54,106).

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2008

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C 112 1st Paragraph rejections

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was called on May 21, 2008 to discuss a potential rejection under 35 U.S.C 112 1st paragraph. Examiner was told by Applicant's representative that the application would be abandoned. Since this is after a Petition/Amendment and no letter of Express of Abandonment has been received, an Office Action directed to the presented amendment is correspondingly presented.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

